

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascian, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,654	03/29/2004	Grzegorz Guzik	13768.1360	2418	
47973 7590 03/12/2010 WORKMAN NYDEGGER/MICROSOFT			EXAM	EXAMINER	
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			ANDERSON, JOHN A		
60 EAST SOU SALT LAKE	JTH TEMPLE CITY, UT 84111	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3694		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/12/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/811,654	GUZIK ET AL.		
xaminer	Art Unit		
OHN A. ANDERSON	3694		

		JOHN A. ANDERSON	3694	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence ad	ldress
A SHO WHIC - Exten after s - If NO - Failur Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA bisson of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MORTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the macroman statutory period we period for reply is specified above, the macroman statutory period with the period of the p	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status				
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Nc This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.14-22 and 31-33 is/are pendi 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.14-22 and 31-33 is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Applicament drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or dec	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received.  In have been received in Application of the process of the	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment	(6)			
	o of References Cited (RTO 892)	4) Interview Summers	(PTO-413)	

Attacnment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(e)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/811,654 Page 2

Art Unit: 3694

### DETAILED ACTION

# Response to Amendment

In the amendment filed 11/24/2009, the following has occurred: claims 1,14,22
have been amended. Claims 12-13,23-30 have been cancelled. Claims 31-33
have been added. Claims 1- 2,4 -11,14-22,31-33 are pending and are presented
for examination.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement dated 07/02/2004 has been considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1- 2, 4-11, 14-22, 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buus et al. (PGPub 2003/0110249) and in view of Stowell D.P.M (PGPub 2002/0099579) and in further view of Pokorny M .R. (PGPub 2003/0154144) and in further view of DeGroote D.G ( U.S. Patent 7076763).
- 5. As regards claims 1, 14 and 22, Buus discloses (Currently Amended) a key performance indicator (KPI) system comprising: a client device user interface component; a processor component that receives KPI identification information from the interface component for one or more KPIs and generates a KPI

document, wherein the KPI document identifies the one or more KPIs to be retrieved based upon identification information received from the interface component and information identifying how to retrieve each of the one or more KPI, the KPI document including text and graphical display parameters for each of the one or more KPIs and which correspond to a value, a goal, a status, a trend and a weight for each of the one or more KPIs; and [0009:0021]

Page 4

a query component that employs the KPI document to retrieve KPI information from one or more data stores, generate a result document, and transfer the result document back to the interface component, the result document specifying presentation data regarding KPI graphics and values to be used by a user interface component in displaying the corresponding value, goal, status, trend and weight for each of the one or more KPIs in a user interface, [0004; 0029; 0035; 0059]

Buus does not disclose the user interface component using the result document to generate a user interface display with the one or more KPIs along with a representation of the value, the goal, the status, the trend and the weight of each of the one or more KPIs.

Stowell discloses the user interface component using the result document to generate a user interface display with the one or more KPIs along with a Application/Control Number: 10/811,654

Art Unit: 3694

representation of the value, the goal, the status, the trend and the weight of each of the one or more KPIs. [Fig 16-18 (0068) ; (0083-0084)]

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Stowell in the device of Buus. The motivation would have been to provide bench markers, targets, priorities, direction and importance to the indicators.

Buus does not disclose the system of claim 1,

wherein the KPI document comprises database name, connection string, and KPI name for each KPI:

Pokorny discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the KPI document comprises database name, connection string, and KPI name for each KPI. [0011]

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Pokorny in the device of Buus .The motivation would have been to identify the event based key performance indicators [0011]

Buus does not disclose the system of claim 1, wherein the KPI retrieval information includes a filter component specifying a subset of data to be utilized to generate a KPI metric.

Pokorny discloses wherein the KPI retrieval information includes a filter component specifying a subset of data to be utilized to generate a KPI metric.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,654

Art Unit: 3694

[0224] Queried results may be treated with filters (not shown) in a variety of ways to segregate data, such as segregating delay results according to the slitter position in which the web component of a medical article was slit prior to being used as a raw material.

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Pokorny in the device of Buus . The motivation would have been to segregate data. [0224]

Buus does not disclose the system of claim 1, wherein the data store is a multidimensional OLAP database.

Pokorny discloses the system of claim 1, wherein the data store is a multidimensional OLAP database. [0051]

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Pokorny in the device of Buus . The motivation would have been to present Historical, summarized, and consolidated data in multi-dimensions for maximum analytical use. [0052; 0215]

Buss does not disclose the method of claim 14, wherein the KPI document comprises data concerning data store connections and KPI retrieval information.

Stowell discloses the method of claim 14, wherein the KPI document comprises data concerning data store connections and KPI retrieval information. [0013]

Buus does not disclose the method of claim 14, further comprising transferring the result document to the client device.

Stowell discloses the method of claim 14, further comprising transferring the result document to the client device.[0015:0055]

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use Stowell in the device of Buus .The motivation would have been for assessing performance evaluations.

 As regards claims 31-33, Buus does not disclose the method of claim 14, wherein the status of at least one KPI is represented as a gauge.

The method of claim 14, wherein the status of at least one KPI is represented as a status bar

The method of claim 14, wherein the status of at least one KPI is represented as a stop light.

DeGroote discloses the method of claim 14, wherein the status of at least one KPI is represented as a gauge.

The method of claim 14, wherein the status of at least one KPI is represented as a status bar.

The method of claim 14, wherein the status of at least one KPI is represented as a stop light, [column 6 lines 4-20; lines 62-67 -column 7 lines 1-5]

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use DeGroote in the device of Buus. The motivation would have been to provide progress indicators towards a goal.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1- 2, 4-11, 14-22, 31-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHN A. ANDERSON whose telephone number is (571)270-3327. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00 to 5:00 Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/811,654

Art Unit: 3694

/John A Anderson/ John A Anderson

Examiner, Art Unit 3694 Examiner

Art Unit 3694

/J. A. A./

Examiner, Art Unit 3694

/James P Trammell/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3694